

An easy method for finding many very large prime numbers*

Leonhard Euler

1. It suffices to explain this method with a single example of numbers contained in the formula $232aa + 1$, where I shall investigate all the values of a in which this formula produces composite numbers; with these excluded, all the remaining numbers assumed for a will supply prime numbers.

2. Now, whenever the formula $232aa + 1$ yields a composite number, an equation like this will hold:

$$232aa + 1 = 232xx + yy,$$

because $232 = 8 \cdot 29$ is a numerus idoneus.¹ Therefore it will be

$$232(aa - xx) = yy - 1.$$

Now let us put $y = 1 + 58z$,² and dividing by 232 produces this equation: $aa - xx = \frac{1}{2}z(29z + 1)$, or, because the unity needs to be taken both positive and negative, it will be

$$aa - xx = \frac{1}{2}z(29z \pm 1).$$

*Presented to the St. Petersburg Academy on March 16, 1778. Originally published as *Facillima methodus plurimos numeros primos praemagnos inveniendi*, Nova acta academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae **14** (1805), 3–10. E718 in the Eneström index. Translated from the Latin by Jordan Bell, Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Email: jordan.bell@gmail.com

¹Translator: A number n is a *numerus idoneus* (suitable number, convenient number, idoneal number) if it satisfies the following criterion. Let m be an odd number relatively prime to n such that for some relatively prime x, y , $m = x^2 + ny^2$. If the equation $m = x^2 + ny^2$ has only one solution with $x, y \geq 0$, then m is a prime number. Thus if n is a numerus idoneus we can use it to find prime numbers m . Gauss: a positive integer n is a numerus idoneus if and only if for every quadratic form of discriminant $-4n$, every genus consists of a single class, see Proposition 3.24 of David A. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$* . It is also equivalent to $h(-4n) = 2^{\mu-1}$, where h is the class number and μ is defined in the following way. Let $D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ be negative and let r be the number of odd primes dividing D . If $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $\mu = r$, and if $D = -4n$, then μ is determined as follows: $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $\mu = r$; $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ then $\mu = r + 1$; $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ then $\mu = r + 1$; $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ then $\mu = r + 2$. See Theorem 3.22 of Cox. For more about numeri idonei see Weil, *Number theory: an approach through history*, pp. 219–226.

²Translator: I don't see why Euler says $y = 1 + 58z$ instead of $y = 1 \pm 58z$. $y = 1 \pm 58z$ follows because $58 = 2 \cdot 29$, and both 2 and 29 are prime factors of the left hand side.

3. Now let us take in order all values 1, 2, 3 etc. for z and, because the formula $\frac{1}{2}z(29z \pm 1)$ certainly has two factors and perhaps more, it can be represented as a product rs in one and perhaps more ways. Since it needs to be equal to the formula $aa - xx = (a+x)(a-x)$, let us put $a+x = r$ and $a-x = s$, whence $a = \frac{r+s}{2}$, and the values of a to be excluded will be thus represented. Here it is evident that both factors r and s need to be both even or both odd.

4. With this noted, let us take $z = 1$, and it will happen in two ways, because of the ambiguity of the sign, as either $rs = 14$ or $rs = 15$. Here the first value, since it is oddly even, is irrelevant to our purpose. On the other hand the latter value $rs = 15$ yields two exclusions, for it will either be $r = 15$ and $s = 1$ or $r = 5$ and $s = 3$, from which the values 8 and 4 need to be excluded for a .

5. Now let $z = 2$ and it will be $rs = 57$ or $rs = 59$. The first admits two resolutions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} r = 57, & r = 19, \\ s = 1, & s = 3, \end{array}$$

the latter one: $r = 59$ and $s = 1$, from which these three exclusions arise: $a = 29, a = 11, a = 30$.

6. Now let $z = 3$, and it will be either $rs = 3 \cdot 43$ or $rs = 3 \cdot 44$, whose resolutions happen like this:

$$\begin{array}{ll|ll} r = 129, & r = 43, & r = 66, & r = 22, \\ s = 1, & s = 3, & s = 2, & s = 6, \end{array}$$

from which these four exclusions follow

$$a = 65, \quad a = 23, \quad a = 34, \quad a = 14.$$

7. Let $z = 4$, and it will be either $rs = 230$ or $rs = 234$. This numbers, since they are oddly even, give no exclusions.

8. Now let $z = 5$, and it will be $rs = 5 \cdot 72$ or $rs = 5 \cdot 73$. The first of these yields the following resolutions:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} r = 180, & r = 90, & r = 60, & r = 36, & r = 30, & r = 20, \\ s = 2, & s = 4, & s = 6, & s = 10, & s = 12, & s = 18. \end{array}$$

Then the values of a that need to be excluded are therefore 91, 47, 33, 23, 21, 19. The other value gives $r = 73, s = 5$ or $r = 365, s = 1$, from which the exclusions 39 and 183 arise.

8a.³ Let $z = 6$, and it will be either $rs = 3 \cdot 173$ or $rs = 3 \cdot 175$, whence the following resolutions:

$$\begin{array}{cc|ccccc} r = 519, & 173, & r = 525, & 175, & 105, & 75, & 35, & 25, \\ s = 1, & 3, & s = 1, & 3, & 5, & 7, & 15, & 21. \end{array}$$

Therefore these exclusions arise:

$$260, \quad 88, \quad 263, \quad 89, \quad 55, \quad 41, \quad 25, \quad 23.$$

9. Now let $z = 7$, and it will be either $rs = 7 \cdot 101$ or $rs = 7 \cdot 102$. Here the first case alone gives these resolutions:

$$\begin{array}{cc} r = 707, & r = 101, \\ s = 1, & s = 7, \end{array}$$

and then the exclusions will be 354 and 54. So that we can prescribe some bounds for ourselves, we will omit in the sequel all exclusions greater than 300.

10. Now let $z = 8$, and it will be either $rs = 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ or $rs = 4 \cdot 233$. Then the following resolutions arise:

$$\begin{array}{cccc|c} r = 462, & 154, & 66, & 42, & r = 466, \\ s = 2, & 6, & 14, & 22, & s = 2, \end{array}$$

from which we conclude the following exclusions:

$$a = 232, \quad 80, \quad 40, \quad 32, \quad 234.$$

11. Let $z = 9$, and it will be either $rs = 9 \cdot 130$ or $rs = 9 \cdot 131$. Then for the second

$$\begin{array}{cc} r = 393, & 131, \\ s = 3, & 9, \end{array}$$

one therefore excludes $a = 198, 70$.

12. Let $z = 10$, and it will be either $rs = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 97$ or $rs = 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 17$, then

³Translator: The original has two sections 8.

$$\begin{array}{l} r = 485, \quad 291, \quad 97, \\ s = 3, \quad 5, \quad 15, \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} r = 289, \quad 85 \\ s = 5, \quad 17, \end{array} \right.$$

whence one excludes

$$a = 244, \quad 148, \quad 56, \quad 147, \quad 51.$$

13. Let $z = 11$, and it will be either $rs = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 53$ or $rs = 32 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, then

$$\begin{array}{l} r = 583, \quad 159, \quad 53, \\ s = 3, \quad 11, \quad 33, \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} 440, \quad 220, \quad 176, \quad 88, \quad 80, \quad 110, \quad 44, \\ 4, \quad 8, \quad 10, \quad 20, \quad 22, \quad 16, \quad 40, \end{array} \right.$$

hence

$$a = 293, \quad 85, \quad 43, \quad 222, \quad 114, \quad 93, \quad 54, \quad 51, \quad 63, \quad 42.$$

14. Let $z = 12$, and it will be either $rs = 6 \cdot 347$ or $rs = 6 \cdot 349$, neither of which gives any exclusion.

15. Let $z = 13$, and it will be either $rs = 13 \cdot 4 \cdot 47$ or $rs = 27 \cdot 13 \cdot 7$, then

$$\begin{array}{l} r = 94, \\ s = 26, \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} 351, \quad 273, \quad 189, \quad 117, \quad 91, \quad 63, \\ 7, \quad 9, \quad 13, \quad 21, \quad 27, \quad 39; \end{array} \right.$$

one therefore excludes

$$60, \quad 179, \quad 141, \quad 101, \quad 69, \quad 59, \quad 51.$$

16. The calculation can be continued on in this way as far as it seems fit. We shall represent the exclusions arising from all the values of z in the following table:

z	Exclusions
1	4, 8,
2	11, 29, 30,
3	14, 23, 34, 65,
4	— — — —
5	19, 21, 23, 33, 39, 47, 91, 183,
6	23, 25, 41, 55, 88, 89, 260, 263,
7	54,
8	32, 40, 80, 232, 234,
9	70, 198,
10	51, 56, 147, 148, 244,
11	42, 43, 51, 54, 63, 85, 93, 114, 222, 293,
13	51, 59, 60, 69, 101, 141, 179,
14	54, [57], 58, 66, 78, 102, 135, 162, 206, 207, [286],
15	64, 68, 88, 116, 236,
16	61, 77, 103, 161, 191,
17	120, 132, 168,
18	265, 266,
19	75, 80, 88, [117], 147, 243,
21	80, 83, 85, 97, 103, 109, 128, 145, 163, 221, 235, 272,
22	84, [85], 96, 123, 276,
23	90, 122, 178,
24	92, 140, 154,
25	98, 110, 154, 194,
26	108, 145,
27	103, 105, 111, 125, 129, 147, [165], 203, 209, 241,
29	122, 134, 166, 218, 225,
30	122, 131, 133, 146, 187, 214,
31	240,
32	139, 224,
33	256,
34	130, 141, 190, [202],
35	137, 143, 162, 169, 247, 271,
37	141, 145, 171, 287,

z	Exclusions
38	212,
39	154, 202,
40	156, 174, 178, 242,
41	162, 186,
42	160, 220, 268,
43	164, [181], 195, 199, 211, 284,
45	208,
46	191, 217, 257,
47	184,
48	185, 241, 253,
49	[192], 260,
50	191, 193, [215, 225, 279, 297],
51	196, 236, 292,
53	202, 223, 245, 260,
54	224,
56	216, 240,
58	224, 236, 296,
59	225, 231, 233, 273,
61	239, 241, 282,
62	237,
63	240,
64	246, 282,
65	252,
66	274,
67	282,
69	263, 265, 295, 263, 265,
71	278,
73	286,
75	286, 290,
78	298.

17. Among the numbers to be excluded for the letter a , some occur two or three times. This happens whenever the number $232aa + 1$ has three or more factors; thus we see that the number 265 is excluded first by the value $z = 18$, then twice by the value $z = 69$. In fact in this case it turns out that the number $232 \cdot 265^2 + 1$ is made from the three factors $59 \cdot 461 \cdot 599$, and even aside from this form the following three are recovered:

$$\begin{aligned}
1^\circ & \quad 232 \cdot 256^2 + 1043^2, \\
2^\circ & \quad 232 \cdot 34^2 + 4003^2, \\
3^\circ & \quad 232 \cdot 35^2 + 4001^2,
\end{aligned}$$

18. Let us also record in a table all the numbers that are to be excluded according to the following arrangement, and we note with an asterisk all those with occur more than once:

0	4, 8,
1	11, 14, 19,
2	21, 23*, 25, [29],
3	30, 32, 33, 34, 39,
4	40, 41, 42, 43, 47,
5	51*, 54*, 55, 56, [57], 58, 59,
6	60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 66, 68, 69,
7	70, 75, 77, 78,
8	80*, 83, 84, 85*, 88*, 89,
9	90, 91, 92, 93, 96, 97, 98,
10	101, 102, 103*, 105, 108, 109,
11	110, 111, 114, 116, [117],
12	120, 122*, 123, 125, 128, 129,
13	130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 137, 139,
14	140, 141*, 143, 145*, 146, 147*, 148,
15	154*, 156,
16	160, 161, 162, 163, 164, [165], 166, 168, 169,
17	171, 174, 178*, 179,
18	[181], 183, 184, 185, 186, 187,
19	190, 191*, [192], 193, 194, 195, 196, 198, 199,
20	202*, 203, 206, 207, 208, 209,
21	211, 212, 214, [215], 216, 217, 218,
22	220, 221, 222, 223, 224*, 225*,
23	231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236*, 237, 239,
24	240*, 241*, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247,
25	252, 253, 256, 257,
26	260*, 263*, 265*, 266, 268,
27	271, 272, [273], 274, 276, 278, [279],
28	282*, 284, 286*, 287,
29	290, 292, 293, 295, 296, [297], 298.

Therefore with these numbers excluded, all the remaining written in place of a in the formula $232aa + 1$ produce prime numbers. The following table thus exhibits these values of a :

1,	2,	3,	5,	6,	7,	9,	10,	12,	13,	15,
16,	17,	18,	20,	22,	24,	26,	27,	28,	31,	35,
36,	37,	38,	44,	45,	46,	48,	49,	50,	52,	53,
62,	67,	71,	72,	73,	74,	76,	79,	81,	82,	86,
87,	94,	95,	99,	100,	104,	106,	107,	112,	113,	115,
118,	119,	121,	124,	126,	127,	136,	138,	142,	144,	149,
150,	151,	152,	153,	155,	157,	158,	159,	167,	170,	172,
173,	175,	176,	177,	180,	182,	188,	189,	197,	200,	201,
204,	205,	210,	213,	219,	226,	227,	228,	229,	230,	238,
248,	249,	250,	251,	254,	255,	258,	259,	261,	262,	264,
267,	269,	270,	275,	277,	280,	281,	283,	285,	288,	289,
291,	294,	299;								

the last of these numbers comes to about 20 million.⁴

⁴Translator: If $a = 299$ then $232aa + 1 = 20,741,033$.